

Relationship Between Soil Microbial Diversity and Plant Health in Organic and Conventional Farming Systems

**Mr. Kakde Vishnu Shamrao, Assistant Professor (CHB)
Department of Botany, Nutan Mahavidyalaya, Selu,
Maharashtra, India.**

Abstract:

Soil microbial diversity played an important role in maintaining soil fertility, nutrient availability, plant growth, and the biological stability of agricultural ecosystems. This paper examined the relationship between soil microbial diversity and plant health under organic and conventional farming systems through a qualitative comparative review of published scientific research. The review considered microbial abundance, community composition, nutrient cycling, soil-borne diseases, organic amendments, and crop performance. The findings reported in the literature indicated that organically managed soils generally supported greater microbial biomass and activity than conventionally managed soils, although the magnitude of the differences varied with soil type, crop, climate, and management practices. A global meta-analysis reported increases of 32% to 84% in selected microbial biomass and enzyme activity indicators under organic farming, while another meta-analysis covering 219 studies found that organic amendments increased several measures of microbial diversity and were positively associated with microbial functionality and crop yields [1], [2]. The reviewed evidence also indicated that microbial diversity alone did not determine plant health because nutrient availability, soil pH, water conditions, crop variety, and pathogen pressure influenced the outcome. The paper concluded that farming practices which maintained organic matter, diversified crop rotations, reduced unnecessary soil disturbance, and encouraged beneficial microbial interactions could support long-term soil health. It recommended integrating microbial indicators into routine soil assessment and adopting location-specific management strategies suited to the agricultural conditions of India.

Keywords: Soil microbial diversity, organic farming, conventional farming, plant health, soil fertility, microbial biomass, nutrient cycling, sustainable agriculture etc.

Introduction

Soil served as a living biological system in which microorganisms participated in nutrient transformations, organic matter decomposition, root interactions, and the regulation of several processes associated with plant growth. Bacteria, fungi, archaea, and other microscopic organisms contributed to the biological functioning of agricultural soils. Their activities influenced the availability of essential nutrients, soil structure, organic matter turnover, and the interactions between plants and soil-borne pathogens [3]. Soil biodiversity was recognised as an important component of ecosystem functioning because organisms below the soil surface supported nutrient cycling, agricultural productivity, and other ecosystem services [3]. The relationship between soil microorganisms and plants therefore extended beyond the presence of individual microbial species. It also depended on the interactions among microbial communities, plant roots, soil properties, and agricultural management practices.

Organic and conventional farming systems provided different combinations of resources and environmental conditions for soil microorganisms. Organic farming generally relied on compost, farmyard manure, crop residues, green manure, crop rotation, and other biological processes to maintain soil fertility. Conventional farming commonly relied on mineral fertilisers and could include synthetic pesticides, herbicides, and more intensive soil management, although actual practices differed substantially among farms.

These differences influenced the quantity and quality of organic matter entering the soil, nutrient availability, soil acidity, moisture conditions, and microbial community structure. A global meta-analysis by Lori et al. reported that organic farming increased several indicators of microbial abundance and activity relative to conventional farming, while also identifying crop rotation, legume inclusion, and organic inputs as important management factors [1]. However, the relationship between microbial

diversity and plant health was not straightforward. A soil could contain a large microbial population without necessarily providing optimal conditions for a particular crop. Some microorganisms promoted plant growth or suppressed pathogens, whereas others caused plant diseases or competed with crops for available nutrients. The ecological functions of microbial communities therefore required consideration alongside their diversity. The comparison of organic and conventional farming systems was important to understand how agricultural management affected soil biological processes and crop health.

Problem Statement:

Agricultural productivity depended on the interaction of soil fertility, crop genetics, climate, water availability, and biological processes. Conventional assessments of soil quality often emphasised chemical properties such as pH, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and organic carbon. Although these measurements were essential, they did not fully describe the biological processes that influenced nutrient cycling and plant–microbe interactions. Claims about organic farming sometimes suggested that greater microbial diversity automatically resulted in healthier plants and higher yields. Such conclusions required careful examination because microbial diversity, microbial activity, disease suppression, and crop productivity represented related but distinct outcomes.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the role of soil microbial diversity in plant growth and health.
2. To compare microbial characteristics reported under organic and conventional farming systems.
3. To analyse the influence of soil microorganisms on nutrient cycling, root development, and disease suppression.
4. To identify the agricultural management practices that influenced soil microbial communities.
5. To recommend scientifically supported approaches for improving soil biological health and sustainable crop production.

Research Methodology:

The paper employed a qualitative, descriptive, and comparative literature-review methodology. Published scientific studies, research syntheses, and institutional resources concerning soil biodiversity, microbial ecology, organic farming, and plant health were examined. The review focused on peer-reviewed meta-analyses and comparative studies that investigated differences in microbial biomass, enzyme activity, microbial diversity, community composition, and crop-related outcomes. Special attention was given to Lori et al. [1], Shu et al. [2], a comparative study of soil microbiomes under organic and conventional farming [4], and the Food and Agriculture Organization's assessment of soil biodiversity [3]. The reviewed evidence was organised under five themes: microbial diversity and soil functioning, differences between farming systems, plant growth and disease suppression, agricultural management practices, and implications for sustainable production. The findings were interpreted comparatively rather than treated as results from a newly conducted field experiment. No original soil samples were collected, no laboratory microbial assays were performed, and no new statistical meta-analysis was calculated for this paper. Numerical findings were attributed to the published studies from which they originated. This approach enabled the paper to describe completed literature analysis in past-tense academic language without presenting fabricated observations as experimental results.

Role of Soil Microbial Diversity in Plant Health:

Soil microorganisms influenced plant health through nutrient transformation, root colonisation, organic matter decomposition, and interactions with disease-causing organisms. The reviewed literature indicated that the biological functioning of soil depended on both the composition of microbial communities and the environmental conditions in which they operated [1], [3].

A. Nutrient Cycling and Availability

Soil bacteria and fungi contributed to the decomposition of crop residues, manure, compost, and other organic materials. Their enzymatic activities helped transform complex organic compounds into simpler substances that became available for plant uptake. Nitrogen-transforming microorganisms participated in processes such as nitrogen fixation, ammonification, nitrification, and denitrification. Phosphorus-solubilising microorganisms helped release some forms of soil phosphorus that plants could otherwise access only with difficulty. Mycorrhizal fungi formed associations with plant roots and assisted the acquisition of phosphorus and certain other nutrients. These processes supported plant growth by influencing nutrient availability and root development. However, the benefits depended on the presence of suitable microbial groups, adequate soil moisture, favourable pH, and appropriate nutrient conditions.

B. Root Growth and Plant Development:

The reviewed studies indicated that beneficial microorganisms could influence root architecture and plant development through nutrient acquisition, production of biologically active compounds, and interactions with plant signalling pathways. Certain strains of *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, and other plant-associated bacteria had been investigated for their potential to improve nutrient availability and support plant growth. Some fungal groups, including arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, had also been associated with improved nutrient uptake under suitable conditions. The presence of these organisms did not guarantee a positive response in every crop. Their effects depended on the microbial strain, plant species, soil conditions, and interactions with the surrounding microbial community.

C. Disease Suppression

Soil microbial communities influenced the development of plant diseases through competition for nutrients and colonisation sites, production of inhibitory substances, and interactions with plant defence mechanisms. Lupatini et al. reported differences in microbial diversity and community composition between organic and conventional farming systems and identified microbial groups associated with potentially disease-suppressive soil conditions [4]. The findings suggested that agricultural management could influence the biological environment in which soil-borne pathogens developed. The presence of diverse microorganisms alone could not establish that a soil was disease-suppressive. Specific functional organisms, pathogen abundance, crop susceptibility, and environmental conditions also needed to be considered.

D. Soil Structure and Water Relations

Microbial activity contributed to soil aggregation through the production of extracellular substances, fungal hyphae, and the decomposition of organic matter. Better-developed soil aggregates could improve pore structure, water infiltration, and resistance to erosion. These properties supported root growth and helped maintain suitable soil moisture conditions. The Food and Agriculture Organization identified soil biodiversity as an important contributor to nutrient cycling, agricultural production, and the broader functioning of soil ecosystems [3]. Thus, microbial diversity affected plant health through a combination of biological, chemical, and physical processes rather than through a single mechanism.

Comparison of Organic and Conventional Farming Systems:

The reviewed studies indicated that organic and conventional farming systems differed in their effects on soil microbial communities. These differences were associated with management practices rather than farming labels alone.

A. Organic Farming Systems

Organic systems commonly used compost, farmyard manure, crop residues, green manure, and crop rotations to maintain soil fertility. These inputs supplied organic carbon and supported microorganisms involved in decomposition and nutrient cycling. Lori et al. analysed 56 studies comprising 149 paired comparisons and reported higher microbial biomass and activity under organic farming for several measured indicators [1]. Their results also indicated that organic inputs, crop rotation, and the inclusion of legumes influenced microbial community size and activity. Shu et al. examined 219 studies and reported that organic amendments increased several measures of microbial diversity compared with mineral-only fertilisation. They also found positive relationships between microbial diversity, microbial

functionality, and crop yields [2]. These results supported the potential of organic amendments to improve soil biological functioning. However, they did not establish that every organic system outperformed every conventional system in crop yield, disease control, or all microbial diversity measures.

B. Conventional Farming Systems

Conventional farming systems often relied on mineral fertilisers to supply nutrients rapidly and could use chemical plant-protection products to manage weeds, insects, and diseases. These practices sometimes supported high crop productivity, particularly when nutrient deficiencies were corrected effectively. However, the long-term effects on soil microbial communities depended on the type and intensity of management. Continuous monocropping, excessive soil disturbance, unbalanced fertilisation, and inappropriate pesticide use could alter microbial composition and soil biological functioning. Conventional systems could also maintain active microbial communities when they incorporated crop residues, organic amendments, diversified rotations, and appropriate soil-conservation practices. The reviewed evidence therefore did not justify treating all conventional farms as biologically degraded.

C. Comparative Summary

Table I

Comparison of Soil Microbial Characteristics in Organic and Conventional Farming Systems

Parameter	Organic farming	Conventional farming
Organic matter inputs	Often included compost, manure, and crop residues	Varied according to residue management and external inputs
Microbial biomass	Frequently higher in comparative studies	Varied with soil and management conditions
Microbial activity	Often increased where organic substrates were available	Depended on nutrient supply and management intensity
Microbial diversity	Frequently increased with organic amendments and diversified management	Varied with crop rotation, soil properties, and chemical inputs
Nutrient availability	Depended partly on microbial decomposition and mineralisation	Often supported by direct mineral nutrient applications and biological processes
Disease suppression	Could benefit from biologically active, disease-suppressive soils	Depended on microbial communities and disease-management practices
Crop productivity	Depended on nutrient supply, crop selection, and management	Depended on nutrient supply, crop selection, and management
Long-term soil health	Could benefit from organic matter inputs and diversified rotations	Could be maintained through balanced fertilisation and soil-conservation practices

(Source: Comparative synthesis of [1] [4]. The table summarises general patterns rather than universal outcomes for all farms.)

The comparison demonstrated that organic farming frequently supported greater microbial biomass and activity, but the differences in microbial diversity and plant health were context-dependent. Management practices, soil properties, climate, and crop requirements remained important determinants.

Results and Discussion:

The literature review produced five principal findings.

A. Organic Farming Generally Supported Greater Microbial Biomass and Activity

Lori et al. reported that microbial biomass carbon was 41% higher, microbial biomass nitrogen was 51%

higher, and total phospholipid fatty acids were 59% higher in organically managed soils than in conventionally managed soils in their meta-analysis [1]. They also reported increases of 74% in dehydrogenase activity, 84% in protease activity, and 32% in urease activity under organic management [1]. These percentages represented the outcomes of their published meta-analysis, not newly calculated values from the present paper. The results suggested that organic management frequently supported larger or more active microbial communities, particularly where organic inputs and diversified crop rotations were maintained.

B. Organic Amendments Influenced Microbial Diversity

Shu et al. synthesised 219 studies and found that organic amendments increased several diversity measures, including richness, Shannon diversity, and phylogenetic diversity, compared with mineral-only fertilisation [2]. Their analysis also indicated that microbial diversity and community structure were positively associated with microbial functionality and crop yields. At the same time, soil physicochemical properties and microbial functionality played important roles in explaining crop performance [2]. The findings suggested that adding suitable organic materials could improve microbial habitats and biological functioning. Nevertheless, amendment quality, application rates, soil pH, and nutrient balance influenced the outcomes.

C. Farming Practices Changed Microbial Community Composition

Lupatini et al. reported that organic and conventional management affected microbial community composition and diversity in their comparative study [4]. Their findings demonstrated that microbial communities responded to the farming system and associated management practices. They also showed that the relationship between microbial diversity and plant health required examination of specific microbial groups and their ecological functions. The study was conducted at a particular experimental location, so its findings could not automatically be generalised to every agricultural ecosystem.

D. Greater Diversity Did Not Automatically Guarantee Better Plant Health

The review showed that microbial diversity was a useful indicator of soil biological conditions, but it was not a complete measure of crop health. Plant growth depended on nutrient availability, soil moisture, temperature, pH, crop variety, and the presence of beneficial or harmful organisms. A diverse microbial community could include pathogens as well as beneficial organisms. Microbial diversity assessments needed to be interpreted alongside measurements of soil fertility, plant growth, disease incidence, and crop productivity.

E. Integrated Management Offered a Practical Direction

The evidence supported the use of practices that maintained organic matter, diversified crop rotations, protected soil structure, and encouraged beneficial plant-microbe interactions. These practices could be incorporated into organic systems and into conventional systems that adopted soil-conservation and integrated nutrient-management approaches. The most appropriate strategy depended on local soil conditions, available resources, cropping patterns, and the needs of individual farmers.

Proposed Framework for Soil Microbial Assessment:

The reviewed evidence indicated that a structured monitoring framework would help farmers and researchers connect soil microbial conditions with plant performance.

1. Soil sampling: Collect representative samples from comparable organic and conventional fields.
 2. Microbial assessment: Measure microbial biomass, enzyme activity, and diversity where appropriate.
 3. Soil and plant assessment: Record pH, organic carbon, available nutrients, crop growth, and disease incidence.
 4. Comparative analysis: Interpret microbial indicators alongside soil properties and crop outcomes.
 5. Management recommendations: Select locally appropriate practices and repeat monitoring over time.
- This framework was proposed from the literature review. It was not implemented as a new field experiment in the present study.

Implications for Indian Agriculture:

The findings had practical relevance for Indian agriculture, where crop production systems differed widely in soil type, rainfall, irrigation access, farm size, and input availability. In rain-fed regions,

including parts of Maharashtra and Marathwada, soil organic matter management is important to maintain soil structure and water relations. Crop residues, properly prepared compost, farmyard manure, green manure, and suitable crop rotations could provide resources for soil microorganisms when applied in accordance with local nutrient requirements. However, recommendations needed to reflect local conditions. Organic amendments had to be adequately decomposed and applied at appropriate rates. Nutrient deficiencies could not be assumed to disappear simply because organic farming practices were adopted. Farmers and agricultural extension workers could also benefit from combining routine soil testing with biological indicators. Where facilities were available, microbial biomass and enzyme assays could supplement conventional soil analysis. Molecular methods could provide further information about community composition, although they required specialised equipment, appropriate controls, and careful interpretation. For resource-limited farming communities, the initial emphasis could be placed on practical management measures, such as retaining suitable crop residues, diversifying rotations, avoiding unnecessary soil disturbance, and using balanced nutrient inputs.

Recommendations:

Based on the reviewed evidence, the following recommendations were proposed.

1. Maintain soil organic matter: Apply suitable compost, farmyard manure, crop residues, and other permitted organic amendments according to soil and crop requirements.
2. Diversify crop rotations: Include suitable legumes and crops with different rooting patterns to improve resource use and provide varied habitats for soil microorganisms.
3. Use balanced nutrient management: Combine soil-test-based fertilisation with organic inputs where appropriate, avoiding both nutrient deficiency and excessive application.
4. Protect soil structure: Reduce unnecessary disturbance, prevent erosion, and maintain soil cover were agronomically feasible.
5. Adopt integrated disease management: Encourage beneficial microbial processes while using appropriate crop rotation, resistant varieties, sanitation, and authorised plant-protection methods.
6. Monitor microbial indicators: Use microbial biomass, enzyme activity, and other suitable indicators alongside standard soil fertility tests.
7. Evaluate plant health directly: Record crop growth, root condition, disease incidence, and yield rather than relying on microbial diversity alone.
8. Conduct regional studies: Undertake replicated comparisons of organic and conventional fields across different soil types and cropping systems in Maharashtra.
9. Strengthen farmer education: Provide training on compost quality, nutrient management, soil sampling, crop rotation, and the interpretation of soil test results.
10. Encourage long-term research: Examine how microbial communities respond over several seasons and whether observed biological changes are associated with measurable improvements in crop health.

Limitations of the Study:

The paper was based on previously published research rather than original field measurements. Therefore, it did not establish new causal relationships between microbial diversity and plant health. The reviewed studies differed in experimental design, microbial measurement techniques, soil characteristics, climate, crop type, and duration of management. These differences limited direct comparisons across all studies. Microbial biomass and enzyme activity did not measure the same properties as taxonomic diversity or the abundance of beneficial microorganisms. The indicators were related but not interchangeable.

Conclusion:

The reviewed literature demonstrated that soil microbial diversity and activity contributed to the biological processes that supported plant health, nutrient cycling, soil structure, and agricultural productivity. Organic farming frequently supported greater microbial biomass and activity when it incorporated organic amendments, diversified crop rotations, and appropriate soil management [1], [2]. The evidence also showed that the relationship between microbial diversity and crop health depended on more than the number of microbial taxa present. The functions performed by microbial communities,

the physicochemical properties of soil, and the requirements of individual crops influenced the final outcome. Organic farming offered important opportunities for maintaining soil biological activity, but well-managed conventional systems could also support healthy microbial communities. The most useful comparison focused on actual management practices and measurable soil and plant outcomes rather than on farming labels alone. For Indian agriculture, integrating organic matter management, crop diversification, balanced nutrient supply, and biological soil assessment could help improve the long-term functioning of agricultural soils. Further field research under regional conditions would be necessary to quantify the effects of these practices on microbial diversity, plant health, and crop productivity.

References:

- [1] M. Lori, S. Symnaczik, P. Mäder, G. De Deyn, and A. Gattinger, "Organic farming enhances soil microbial abundance and activity—A meta-analysis and meta-regression," PLOS ONE, vol. 12, no. 7, Art. no. e0180442, Jul. 2017, doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0180442](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180442).
- [2] X. Shu et al., "Organic amendments enhance soil microbial diversity, microbial functionality and crop yields: A meta-analysis," Science of the Total Environment, vol. 829, Art. no. 154627, Jul. 2022, doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154627](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154627).
- [3] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, State of Knowledge of Soil Biodiversity: Status, Challenges and Potentialities—Summary for Policy Makers. Rome, Italy: FAO, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.fao.org/3/cb1929en/CB1929EN.pdf>
- [4] M. Lupatini, G. W. Korthals, M. de Hollander, T. K. S. Janssens, and E. E. Kuramae, "Soil microbiome is more heterogeneous in organic than in conventional farming system," Frontiers in Microbiology, vol. 7, Art. no. 2064, Jan. 2017, doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2016.02064](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02064).